

FACTORS USED IN BASELINE ADJUSTMENTS

(Chart 4 – WIB Title I Performance Goals)

New Bedford for FY 2007

Local performance levels were adjusted based on data in the charts provided with the planning instructions as well as current and projected service level ranges.

Adult Entered Employment, Retention and Credential Rates

An adjustment of –1% was taken based on service level ranges for High School Dropouts (29.4%), an adjustment of –1% was taken based on Welfare (47.7%), and an adjustment of –1% was taken based on Unemployment Rate (6.3%), for a total adjustment of -3%.

Dislocated Worker Entered Employment, Retention and Credential Rates

An adjustment of –1% was taken based on service level ranges for High School Dropouts (13.2%), an adjustment of –1% was taken based on Age 55 or Older (21.3%), and an adjustment of –1% was taken based on Unemployment Rate (6.3%), for a total adjustment of -3%.

Older Youth Entered Employment, Retention and Credential Rates

An adjustment of –2% was taken based on service level ranges for High School Dropouts (71%), an adjustment of –2% was taken based on percentage of Pregnant/Parenting customers (53%), an adjustment of –1% was taken based on percentage of Disabled customers (20%), and an adjustment of –1% was taken based on Unemployment Rate (6.3%), for a total adjustment of -6%.

Older Youth Earnings Gain

An adjustment of –100 was taken based on service level ranges for High School Dropouts (71%), an adjustment of –100 was taken based on percentage of Pregnant/Parenting customers (53%), an adjustment of –50 was taken based on percentage of Disabled customers (20%), and an adjustment of –200 was taken based on average Area Wage (\$33,072), for a total adjustment of -450.

Younger Youth Skill Attainment, Diploma, and Retention Rates

An adjustment of –2% was taken based on percentage of Welfare customers (48%), an adjustment of –1% was taken based on percentage of Disabled customers (33%), an adjustment of –1% was taken based on percentage of customers who Lack Basic Skills (61%), and an adjustment of –1% was taken based on Unemployment Rate (6.3%), for a total adjustment of -5%.